



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/107,083	06/29/1998	CHRISTOPHER M. WHITE	MS1-260US	7534
22801	7590 04/20/2005		EXAMINER	
LEE & HAY		VU, NGOC K		
SPOKANE,	RSIDE AVENUE SUITE : WA 99201	500	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2611	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/107,083	WHITE, CHRISTO	OPHER M.			
Office Action Sumn	nary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ngoc K. Vu	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less to - If NO period for reply is specified above, the re- - Failure to reply within the set or extended per - Any reply received by the Office later than three arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	DMMUNICATION.  e provisions of 37 CFR 1.136  of this communication.  han thirty (30) days, a reply w  naximum statutory period will  iod for reply will, by statute, c  ee months after the mailing di	(a). In no event, however, may a rithin the statutory minimum of thi apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timel  NTHS from the mailing date of this or  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communicati	on(s) filed on <u>27 Dec</u>	<u>cember 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)∐ This a	ction is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-29,36 and 33</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-29 and 31-36</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject	is/are withdrawred. Sis/are rejected. Sed to.	from consideration.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected	to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that			, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s)							
11) The oath or declaration is ob	jected to by the Exar	miner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some c) No 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified application from the Ir	ne of: priority documents to priority documents to priority documents to copies of the priority nternational Bureau (	nave been received. nave been received in A y documents have been PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC 	) <del>-</del> 152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/107,083

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/27/04 with respect to claims 1-5, 7-29, and 31-36 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references. the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, applicant argues that there is no suggestion of a computing device or system that would possibly support the implement of a web browser program in Duffield. It is noted that Duffield discloses determining the channels that are frequently and/or continuously selected and reselected by a viewer and displaying video pictures that represent channels frequently and/or continuously selected and reselected by the viewer on a screen (see col. 1, lines 14-19; col. 3, lines 38-58). However, Boyer discloses that television program guide data and related multimedia information are provided to the user's multimedia system in the form of one or more web pages to allow the user access information widely. Using a web site platform also allows a wide range of service options, e.g., video, images, text...etc, to be provided (see page 1, 0013;

Application/Control Number: 09/107,083

Art Unit: 2611

Page 3

page 2, 0015 and figures 1-3). Furthermore, Boyer shows presenting per view event when the user selects and orders it from pay per view channel (see page 9, 0130-0134). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Duffield by displaying secondary video pictures through web browser program as taught by Boyer in order to widely allow the users to access television information at remote locations.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-29, and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duffield et al. (US 5,398,074 A) in view of Boyer et al. (US 20030066085 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Duffield discloses a method of displaying recently accessed television channels comprising the following steps: determining whether a television channel has been recently selected by a user (determining a channel that is frequently and/or continuously selected and reselected by a viewer – see col. 1, lines 14-19); adding the television channel to a list of selected channels if the television channel was determined to be recently selected and generating a primary display screen having multiple small display screens, each small display screen corresponding to one of the selected channels (secondary video pictures 24 representing channels frequently and/or continuously selected and reselected by the viewer are displayed on a screen 20 – see figures 1-3; see col. 1, lines 14-19; col. 3, lines 38-58).

Duffield does not explicitly disclose displaying secondary video pictures through a web browser program. However, Boyer discloses that television program guide data and related multimedia information are provided to the user's multimedia system in the form of one or more web pages to allow the user access information widely. Using a web site platform also allows a wide range of service options, e.g., video, images, text...etc, to be provided (see page 1, 0013; page 2, 0015 and figures 1-3). Furthermore, Boyer shows presenting per view event when the user selects and orders it from pay-per-view channel (see page 9, 0130-0134). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Duffield by displaying secondary video pictures through web browser program as taught by Boyer in order to widely allow the users to access television information at remote locations.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Duffield discloses monitoring the selected channel for a predetermined length of time (frequently and/or continuously channel selected and reselected by the viewer – see col. 1, lines 14-19).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Duffield discloses selecting the television channel from the secondary video pictures using a remote control in response to on-screen menu prompts (see col. 4, lines 20-24).

Regarding claims 7 and 9-11, Duffield discloses enabling the selection of a channel from secondary video pictures by highlighting and moving the highlighting up or down the video pictures with the remote control (see col. 6, lines 20-28).

Regarding claim 8, Duffield discloses enlarging the selected picture as a main screen (see figures 1-3). Duffield does not disclose removing the remaining secondary video pictures.

Official Notice is taken that the feature of displaying a selected picture on a full screen and removing other pictures from the screen is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Duffield by displaying a selected picture on a full screen and removing other pictures from the screen in order to view the selected picture on screen without obstructiveness.

Regarding claim 12, Duffield discloses that the new selected channel appears in the middle and the former selected channel is moved to the top or bottom (see col. 6, lines 29-33).

Regarding claim 13-15 and 17, Duffield discloses displaying multiple viewing secondary video pictures of the channels on screen and allowing the user to select one for displaying on main screen (see figures 1-3).

Regarding claim 16, 18 and 19, Duffield discloses updating the pictures at full speed (see col. 4, lines 9-17).

Regarding claim 20, Duffield discloses a method comprising the following steps: generating a primary display screen having multiple small display screens, each small display screen corresponding to one of the selected channels (secondary video pictures 24 representing channels frequently and/or continuously selected and reselected by the viewer are displayed on a screen 20 – see figures 1-3; see col. 1, lines 14-19; col. 3, lines 38-58); applying a focus (a distinct border design 72) to one of the small display screens to designate the one small display screen as active and containing a currently selected channel and to differentiate the active small display screen from remaining ones of the small display screens (enabling the selection of a channel from secondary video pictures by highlighting and moving the highlighting up or down the video pictures with the remote control - see col. 6, lines 20-28).

Regarding claim 21, Duffield discloses enlarging the selected picture as a main screen (see figures 1-3). Duffield does not disclose removing the remaining secondary video pictures. Official Notice is taken that the feature of displaying a selected picture on a full screen and removing other pictures from the screen is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Duffield by displaying a selected picture on a full screen and removing other pictures from the screen in order to view the selected picture on screen without obstructiveness.

Regarding claims 22 and 23, Duffield discloses enabling the selection of a channel from secondary video pictures by highlighting and moving the highlighting up or down the video pictures with the remote control (see col. 6, lines 20-28), and displaying multiple viewing secondary video pictures of the channels on screen and allowing the user to select one for displaying on main screen (see figures 1-3).

Regarding claims 24 and 25, Duffield discloses updating the pictures at full speed (see col. 4, lines 9-17).

Regarding claim 26, Duffield discloses a method comprising the following steps: generating a primary display screen having multiple small display screens, each small display screen corresponding to one of the selected channels (secondary video pictures 24 representing channels frequently and/or continuously selected and reselected by the viewer are displayed on a screen 20 – see figures 1-3; see col. 1, lines 14-19; col. 3, lines 38-58); displaying still images captures form corresponding channels in the small display screens (secondary video pictures 24 representing channels frequently and/or continuously selected and reselected by the viewer are displayed on a screen 20 – see figures 1-3; see col. 1, lines 14-19; col. 3, lines 38-58).

information at remote locations.

Duffield does not explicitly disclose displaying secondary video pictures through a web browser program. However, Boyer discloses that television program guide data and related multimedia information are provided to the user's multimedia system in the form of one or more web pages to allow the user access information widely. Using a web site platform also allows a wide range of service options, e.g., video, images, text...etc, to be provided (see page 1, 0013; page 2, 0015 and figures 1-3). Furthermore, Boyer shows presenting per view event when the user selects and orders it from pay-per-view channel (see page 9, 0130-0134). Therefore, it

Regarding claim 27, Duffield discloses updating the pictures at full speed (see col. 4, lines 9-17).

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to modify the teaching of Duffield by displaying secondary video pictures through web

browser program as taught by Boyer in order to widely allow the users to access television

Regarding claim 28, Duffield discloses displaying multiple viewing secondary video pictures of the channels on screen (see figures 1-3).

Regarding claim 29, Duffield discloses a client system capable of receiving multiple television channels, comprising: a processor (82); and a memory (inside the processor) having stored therein executable instructions (software) which, when executed by the processor, cause the processor to perform the following steps: determining whether a television channel has been recently selected by a user (determining a channel that is frequently and/or continuously selected and reselected by a viewer – see col. 1, lines 14-19); adding the television channel to a list of selected channels if the television channel was determined to be recently selected and generating

a primary display screen having multiple small display screens, each small display screen corresponding to one of the selected channels (secondary video pictures 24 representing channels frequently and/or continuously selected and reselected by the viewer are displayed on a screen 20 – see figures 1-3; see col. 1, lines 14-19; col. 3, lines 38-58).

Duffield does not explicitly disclose displaying secondary video pictures through a web browser program or an interactive display environment including WWW content. Duffield does not explicitly disclose displaying secondary video pictures through a web browser program. However, Boyer discloses that television program guide data and related multimedia information are provided to the user's multimedia system in the form of one or more web pages to allow the user access information widely. Using a web site platform also allows a wide range of service options, e.g., video, images, text...etc, to be provided (see page 1, 0013; page 2, 0015 and figures 1-3). Furthermore, Boyer shows presenting per view event when the user selects and orders it from pay-per-view channel (see page 9, 0130-0134). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Duffield by displaying secondary video pictures through web browser program as taught by Boyer in order to widely allow the users to access television information at remote locations.

Regarding claim 31, Duffield as modified by Boyer discloses that the television information is HTML object displayed on web browser (see Boyer: page 4, 0072).

Regarding claim 32, Boyer et al. further discloses the Internet system comprising at least one server system and a network interconnecting the server system and the one or more client systems (see page 3, 0055, 0056 and figures 1 and 3).

Claims 33, 34, and 35 are computer-readable medium claims which embody the method steps of claims 1, 20, and 26, respectively, in computer code (i.e., software). Neither Duffield nor Boyer explicitly teaches the method embodied in software. Official Notice is taken that automating method steps in software is extremely well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined teaching of Duffield and Boyer by providing the method steps in Duffield and Boyer as discussed with respect to claims 1, 20, and 26, respectively, as program code (i.e., software) in order to automate the combined system of Duffield and Boyer and provide computer control.

4. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyer et al. (US 20030066085 A1) in view of Duffield et al. (US 5,398,074 A).

Regarding claim 36, Boyer et al. discloses in a set top box system (116 – see figure 3) capable of receiving and presenting both television and web content on a television, a user interface executing on the set top box system (see figures 1-30) comprising providing television program guide data and related multimedia information to the user's multimedia system in the form of one or more web pages to allow the user access information widely. Boyer further discloses that using a web site platform also allows a wide range of service options, e.g., video, images, text...etc, to be provided (see page 1, 0013; page 2, 0015 and figures 1-3). Moreover, Boyer shows presenting per view event when the user selects and orders it from pay-per-view channel (see page 9, 0130-0134).

Boyer does not disclose displaying multiple small display screens wherein each small display screen corresponding to a channel recently selected by a user. However, Duffield

discloses that secondary video pictures 24 representing channels frequently and/or continuously selected and reselected by the viewer are displayed on a screen 20 (see figures 1-3; see col. 1, lines 14-19; col. 3, lines 38-58). Duffield further discloses enabling the selection of a channel from secondary video pictures by highlighting and moving the highlighting up or down the video pictures with the remote control (see col. 6, lines 20-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Boyer by displaying video pictures representing channels frequently and/or continuously selected and reselected by the viewer as taught by Duffield in order to view recently selected channels simultaneously.

## Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/107,083 Page 11

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoc K. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-7306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on 571-272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ngoc K. Vu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2611

April 18, 2005